Tree Canopy Code Amendments

Study Session

Kristina Gallant, Planning Manager Nick Whipple, Assistant Director Development Services Department

March 27, 2024





Planning Commission Direction

Provide input and direct staff to prepare the proposed LUCA for public hearing at a future meeting

Agenda

- Previous PC Topics
- 2. Proposed LUCA Topics
- 3. LUCA Process
- 4. Planning Commission Direction





Previous PC Topics

December 2022

May 2023

July 2023

December 2023

January 2024 Recent Progress

- Anticipated Process
- Initial Scope Proposal
- Council Launch
 Direction
- Engagement Launch

- Phase One Engagement Findings
- Updated Scope
- Engagement Updates
- Confirmed Scope and Council Direction
- Significant Tree Definition
- Recommended Tree Retention Approach
- BDC & Public Meeting Feedback
- Tree Credit Calibration Options
- LUCA Structure
- LUCA Topics:
 - Purpose
 - Applicability and Review
 - SectionDefinitions

- Public testing draft shared with BDC, project stakeholders, and public
- Internal testing and revisions
- Finalizing technical consultant report



- 1. Definitions
- 2. Minimum Tree Density
- 3. Tree Protection

Definitions: Measurement and Qualifications

- Consistent guidance for measuring existing trees and new plantings (Caliper, Diameter at Breast Height)
- Qualifications for a Qualified Tree Professional



Definitions: Tree Health and Safety

- Objective criteria for viability of a tree
- Objective criteria to establish that a tree is hazardous
- Both determined by a qualified tree professional



Definitions: Significant and Landmark Trees

- Reduced 6" diameter for significant trees
- Permanent 24" diameter for landmark trees
 - Smaller diameter for select slow-growing species
 - Alders and Cottonwoods never eligible
- Must also be viable



Minimum Tree Density

- Applicant must maintain minimum tree credits
- Retain or plant, emphasis on retention
 - Limits on additional tree removal if site is below minimum tree density before development
- Minimum scales by Land Use District and use
- Differentiate between one dwelling per lot and multiple dwellings per lot

Minimum Tree Density: Retained Trees

- Priorities for Retention:
 - Landmark trees
 - Trees in groves
 - Significant trees in required perimeter landscaping
 - Other significant trees

Tree Credits by Diameter of Retained Tree

DBH	6"-10"	10" - 12"							24"+ or Landmark
Tree Credits	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Minimum Tree Density: Planted Trees

- 1 credit per planted tree, 0.5 for Alders and Cottonwoods
- Trees planted for other requirements also count
- 3-year lookback for replanting on sites with low existing tree density
- In-lieu fee available when all planting options exhausted

Minimum Tree Density: Development Flexibility

- Reduced parking if enabling landmark or grove preservation beyond the minimum
- Reduced front and year yards if:
 - Necessary to achieve maximum development density
 - Enables landmark or grove preservation beyond minimum
 - Affordable housing project exceeding minimum

Tree Protection

- Tree protection plan required (BCCA to specify)
- Tree protection covenant required when development flexibility provided
- Maintenance assurance device may be required when new plantings necessary to achieve minimum tree density



LUCA Process

Action	Date			
LUCA Initiated	November 21, 2022			
Public Information Session	June 8, 2023			
PC Study Session 1	December 13, 2023			
BDC Presentation	January 10, 2024			
Public Information Session	January 11, 2024			
PC Study Session 2	January 24, 2024			
PC Study Session 3	March 27, 2024			
PC Public Hearing and Recommendation	April 24, 2024			
City Council Study Session	To be scheduled			
City Council Action	To be scheduled			



Planning Commission Direction

Provide input and direct staff to prepare the proposed LUCA for public hearing at a future meeting