

Utilities Element – Remaining Items

	Council question or direction	Information and/or potential change
1.	<p>UT-X21</p> <p>Councilmember Robertson suggested consideration of adding transmission lines, subject to funding, to provide additional detail in the policy. Following discussion on June 22, Councilmember Robertson observed that draft policy UT-X21 could be split into two parts and staff was directed to respond with such an alternative.</p>	<p>Proposed amendment on p. 150:</p> <p><i>UT-X21 Support neighborhood efforts to underground existing electrical <u>transmission and distribution</u> lines where a significant number of neighborhood residents have demonstrated a willingness and ability to cover the non-utility share of <u>full</u> project costs.</i></p> <p>Alternative with policy split into two:</p> <p><i>UT-X21 Support neighborhood efforts to underground existing electrical <u>transmission and distribution</u> lines.</i></p> <p><i>UT-X21b Support neighborhood efforts to form financial arrangements, such as local improvement districts, to cover the non-utility share of project costs for <u>undergrounding electrical lines</u>.</i></p>
2.	<p>Locations for new electrical facilities</p> <p>Councilmember Robertson suggested consideration of two new policies related to the siting of aerial electrical and telecommunication facilities. One would discourage development of new corridors while the other would support use of the street right-of-way. On June 22, Councilmembers debated the consequences of these two proposed policies and directed that they come back for discussion by the full Council.</p>	<p>Proposed amendment on p. 152:</p> <p><i>UT-X27 Discourage new aerial facilities within corridors that have no existing aerial facilities, unless no feasible alternative exists.</i></p> <p><i>UT-X28 Encourage new transmission facilities to be located in street rights-of-way.</i></p>