Memory Book

The following matrix represents a compilation of the topics raised or questions asked by the City Council during its review of the Planning Commission Recommendation on the Downtown Land Use Code (LUC) Update. Each of the topics and questions is identified by the date that is was raised, the origin of the comment, by the date that the topic question was discussed and the date that it was resolved.

| TOPICS AND FOLLOW-UP | REQUESTOR | TARGET DATE FOR DISCUSSION/RESOLUTION |
|--|---|--|
| June 26, 2017 – Code Topics for Follow-Up | | |
| Downtown Office Limited Business District Density/design parameters (height, floorplates) Incentives Relationship to East Main Station Area Planning | Chelminiak Robinson | Originally targeted for July 17, but discussion postponed until Sept. 5 |
| Floorplate reduction with added height 10% open space with added height | Chelminiak Wallace | Discussed on July 17 and discussion to be continued on Sept. 5 |
| Tower separation (w/visuals) | Chelminiak Robinson Wallace | July 10 and discussion to be continued on September 5 |
| Transportation analysis briefing | Lee Wallace | July 10 |
| Downtown parking flexibility | Lee Chelminiak Wallace | July 10 |
| Small site exceptions and deviations | Wallace | July 10 |
| Details of affordable housing exemption | Reserved in Code for Council Discussion | July 17 and continued until Sept. 5 for affordable housing language and departures |
| 20' Downtown boundary buffer/landscaping strip | Chelminiak | Sept. 5 |
| Fee in lieu incentive zoning for parks | Robinson | Sept 5 |

| TOPICS AND FOLLOW-UP | REQUESTOR | TARGET DATE FOR DISCUSSION/RESOLUTION |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---|
| Accessibility & utility of alleys R | Robinson | Sept 5 |
| June 26, 2017 – Other Follow-Up | | |
| | Robinson | Exploration of Park Impact Fee to occur, separate from Code update, based on Council direction. |

| TOPICS AND FOLLOW-UP | REQUESTOR | TARGET DATE FOR DISCUSSION/RESOLUTION |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| July 10, 2017 | | |
| Tower Separation and Setbacks – Councilmembers directed staff to include 60-foot tower separation in the next draft. Councilmembers will reflect on topic and were encouraged to contact staff with any questions. | All | Council leaves proposed code "as is." |
| Parking Flexibility- Council directed staff to bring back language that provides Director with flexibility to increase or decrease parking ratios similar to BelRed provision in Part 20.25D LUC. | Lee Chelminiak Wallace | Language will be provided on Sept. 5. |
| Small Sites Exceptions and | Wallace | Council leaves proposed code "as |
| Deviations | | is." |
| July 17, 2017 | | |
| Floorplate reduction with added height 10% open space with added height | Chelminiak Wallace | Discussed on July 17 and discussion to be continued on Sept. 5 |
| 30,000 sq. ft. floor plates – Council directed staff to confer with Economic Development Department to determine market demand and whether the proposed floor plates in the OLB will accommodate the influx of technology based businesses, or is greater flexibility necessary in DT- O-1 and DT-O-2. | Robinson | Sept. 5 |

| TOPICS AND FOLLOW-UP | REQUESTOR | TARGET DATE FOR DISCUSSION/RESOLUTION |
|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Affordable Housing | Chelminiak (1) Robinson (2) Robinson (3) | Topics delayed until the consideration of the Affordable Housing Strategy include: (1) Potential of subsidizing unites to remain at 60% AMI beyond 12 year MFTE Term, (2) Discussion of MFTE and layering incentives, and (3) Discussion of units below 80% AMI |
| September 5, 2017 | | |
| Tower Setbacks between Projects. | All | Council Direction: 1. Nonresidential buildings - 20 foot setback above 80 feet for buildings exceeding 100 feet in height. 2. Residential Buildings - 30 foot setback above 80 feet for buildings exceeding 100 feet in height. |

| TOPICS AND FOLLOW-UP | REQUESTOR | TARGET DATE FOR DISCUSSION/RESOLUTION |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Floor Plate Reduction when Building Exceeds Trigger Height | Chelminiak Wallace | Council Direction: 10 percent reduction in floor plates above 80 feet for residential buildings that exceed the trigger height. 15 percent reduction in floor plates above 80 feet for nonresidential buildings that exceed the trigger height in DT-O-1 and DT-O-2. To be discussed on September 18: Percentage reduction in floor plates above 80 feet for nonresidential buildings that exceed the trigger height in DT-O-1 and DT-O-2. To be discussed on September 18: Percentage reduction in floor plates above 80 feet for nonresidential buildings that exceed the trigger height in DT-MU, DT-MU Civic Center, OLB Central and OLB South. Should there be a point below which floor plates should not be reduced, e.g. 20,000 square feet? |

| TOPICS AND FOLLOW-UP | REQUESTOR | TARGET DATE FOR DISCUSSION/RESOLUTION |
|---------------------------|------------------------|--|
| DT-OLB Density and Design | Chelminiak Robinson | Council Direction: Allow 25 percent increase in floor plates in the DT-OLB Central District between 80 and 150 feet of building height with required continuous east-west building separation above 40 feet and no negative impact on access to light and air, or privacy. This was provided only to DT-OLB South in the Planning Commission recommendation. Use a 10 percent floor plate reduction above the trigger height. (See Floor Plate Reduction Topic above). This would apply to the nonresidential trigger height of 230 feet in the DT-OLB Central and DT-OLB South Districts. Discussion of potential additional density bonuses in the DT-OLB Central District should await the planned 2018 code updates to implement the Grand Connection Framework Plan currently being developed. |

| TOPICS AND FOLLOW-UP | REQUESTOR | TARGET DATE FOR DISCUSSION/RESOLUTION |
|---|-----------|--|
| 30,000 sq. ft. floor plates – Council directed staff to confer with Economic Development Department to determine market demand and whether the proposed floor plates in the OLB will accommodate the influx of technology based businesses, or is greater flexibility necessary in DT- O-1 and DT-O-2. | Robertson | Staff reported that: Larger office floor plates for larger companies are important because separate floors means less collaboration. Small and medium sized tech companies are generally priced out of Downtown. There are a number of large software research and development companies in Downtown Bellevue that have been happy with the office space options with less than 24,000 square foot floor plates. A floor (18,000 square feet) and a ceiling (30,000 square feet) is desirable for office floor plate sizes, but emphasis is placed on design, amenities, and accessibility as priorities as well. |
| Carry-over Topics from September 5 Study Session Affordable Housing Code Flexibility Accessibility and Use of Alleys Downtown Boundary Linear Buffer In-Lieu Fees for Parks Wrap-Up of Minor Topics | | Topics were scheduled for discussion on September 5, but postponed until September 18 due to time constraints. |

| TOPICS AND FOLLOW-UP | REQUESTOR | TARGET DATE FOR DISCUSSION/RESOLUTION |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| New Topics Small Site Exceptions and Deviations Floor Plate Reduction When Nonresidential Buildings Exceed Trigger Height; Possibility of Floor Plate Reduction Stopping at 20,000 Square Feet in DT-MU Updated on Wilburton Commercial Study Area | | To be discussed on September 18 |
| September 18, 2017 | | |
| Nonresidential Towers Exceeding the Trigger Height in the DT-MU | Chelminiak, Robertson, Robinson | Provide three code language options: 10% reduction in floor plate – consistent with Planning Commission Recommendation Safe harbor option – Floor plate shall not go below 20,000 square feet. No requirement for floor plate reduction over the trigger height if "exemplary design" is provided. |
| Affordable Housing Flexibility | | Direction to include flexibility regarding reduced parking, increased lot coverage, and ability to reduce upper level stepbacks as recommended by staff. |
| Accessibility and Use of Alleys | | No changes to current version of the draft code were requested by Council. |
| Downtown Linear Buffer | | No changes to current version of the draft code were requested by Council. |

| TOPICS AND FOLLOW-UP | REQUESTOR | TARGET DATE FOR DISCUSSION/RESOLUTION |
|---|-----------|--|
| In-lieu Fees for Parks | | Direction to include language that in-lieu fees may be expended on parks located adjacent to or connected to Downtown as well as in Downtown. Direction to allow in- lieu fees for public open space, not just parks. |
| Small Site Exceptions | | No changes to current version of the draft code were requested by Council. |
| Vesting and Sustainability Certification | | No changes to current version of the draft code were requested by Council. |
| Tower Setbacks | | Direction to include 20-foot setbacks from interior property lines for both residential and nonresidential towers in the draft code. Alternative draft code language was requested for Council discussion on October 2 to include a 30-foot setback from interior property lines for residential towers with flexibility to reduce the setback to 20 feet. |
| Raising Trigger Heights in OLB | | Direction to bring back this topic for Council discussion on October 2. |