February 21, 2018	Bellevue	Seattle	Jefferson County	Covington	Kirkland	Redmond	Snohomish County
Review criteria (generalized)	20.30.140	Not codified	18.45.080	14.25.060	Ch. 140	21.76.070	30.74
Appropriate to address through the CP	•	•		•		•	•
Is not more appropriate to address another way (ongoing work program, regulatory or budget amendment, program change)	•	•		•		•	
City has available resources/time/information for review	•	•			•	•	•
Significantly changed conditions/circumstances	•		•	•			•
Geographic scope is appropriate, minimum necessary	•		•				
Consistent with CP, regional policy, state and federal law, court case	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Recently rejected or considered	•	•		•		•	
Likely to make a material difference in future city regulatory or funding decision		•					
Addressed in neighborhood plan has been initiated, recently completed, will be initiated in near future (2 years)		•			•		
Would correct an inconsistency or make clarification to CP					•		•
Adversely affects level of service standards			•				•
Public interest best served by consideration now rather than deferring to later plan or plan amendment process					•		
Reflects current widely held values of residents			•				
Timely with respect to other city and community initiatives, and planned public/private development activity						•	