



Department of
Natural Resources and Parks
Solid Waste Division

Waste Prevention

Resource Recovery Waste Disposal 2019 Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan

State Law requires Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan



- Also required by interlocal agreements and county code
- County, advisory committees, and partner cities collaborated on Plan development
- Extensive public review conducted



Major Planning Elements



Existing System



Forecasting & Data



Sustainable Materials Management



Transfer & Processing



Disposal & Landfill Management



Finance



Natural Resources and Parks
Solid Waste Division

Key Policy Choices







Disposal

Transfer Services

Recycling



All The Easy Choices Have Already Been Made!

Further Development at Cedar Hills Is Best Choice Up To 2040



Long Term Disposal

- Cedar Hills Advantages
 - Lowest Cost Per Ton
 - Most Favorable Greenhouse Gas(GHG)
 - Manages Waste Locally
 - Lowest Experience Risk
 - Advisory Committee Support
- Longer term options remain open

- Export
 - Rail Capacity Risks
 - Higher Rate Impact
- Waste to Energy
 - Highest Rate Impact
 - Siting Challenges
 - Plant Sizing Risks



We have less than 10 years to implement the right solution!

Protecting Our Employees, Public, and the Environment is a Top Priority

- Landfill operations are regulated to safeguard public health, the environment, and the nearby community.
- SWD is accountable for the quality of air, groundwater, leachate, and storm water.
 - Over 600 gas and 70 water wells are regularly monitored for compliance
- Cedar Hills accounts for less than 10% of reported odor complaints to the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency.
 - Cedar Hills is staffed 24/7 with highly skilled and trained professionals who do around-the-clock odor checks six times a day.
- Active landfill areas are covered daily to control odors and reduce potential for wildlife to carry away garbage.



New NE Station is Best Choice



Transfer

Services

- New NE Station Advantages
 - Addresses Regional Inequities
 - Maximizes Service Offering
 - Most Favorable GHG
 - Most Cities Support Approach
 - Consistent with Long Standing Regional Plan

Other Options

- Combo
 - Siting Challenges Multiplied
 - See Houghton "As-Is" Issues
- Houghton "As-Is"
 - Minimal Recycling
 - Low Operational Efficiency
 - Host City Concerns



Keep High Recycling Goals and Work Toward Unified Approaches



- Menu of choices allows recycling to be tailored to city & unincorporated area needs.
- The cities will retain the ultimate authority of what their respective recycling programs look like.
- County will work with cities to encourage more unified approaches and market development.



Estimated Plan Adoption Timeline

Develop environmental impact statement (DEIS) Jan-Dec 2017

Develop plan content Oct 2016 – Dec 2017 60-day
public
comment
period
beginning
Jan 8, 2018

County Council process Jul 2018–early 2019

120-day city adoption process: 2019

2016

2017

2018

2019

Advisory Committee Discussions Oct 2016 – Nov 2017 Preliminary state review: January 8 - May 7, 2018

Final state approval: Late 2019



Department of Natural Resources and Park Solid Waste Division We Are Here



Department of Natural Resources and Parks **Solid Waste Division**

Waste Prevention

Resource Recovery

Waste **Disposal**

King Street Center
201 South Jackson Street, Suite 701
Seattle, WA 98104-3855
206-477-4466
711 TTY Relay
your.kingcounty.gov/solidwaste



Natural Resources and Parks
Solid Waste Division